The silent earthquake of 2002 in the Guerrero seismic gap, Mexico (Mw=7.6): Inversion of slip on the plate interface and some implications
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RESUMEN
Con el fin de determinar la distribución de deslizamientos debida a un sismo lento que ocurrió en la interfase de las placas en la zona de la brecha sísmica de Guerrero, llevamos a cabo una inversión de los datos de posición obtenidos a través de estaciones GPS. Este sismo lento, con duración aproximada de 4 meses, fue registrado por 7 estaciones permanentes de GPS localizadas en un área de ~550x250 km². El mejor modelo obtenido, considerando algunas restricciones físicamente razonables, muestra que el deslizamiento ocurrió en la zona de transición a una distancia de entre 100 y 170 km de la trinchera. El deslizamiento promedio obtenido fue de alrededor de 22.5 cm (Mo~2.97 x10²⁷ dyne-cm, Mw=7.6). Nuestro modelo implica un aumento en los esfuerzos de corte en la zona acoplada que se encuentra adyacente a la de transición. Esta zona acoplada es la parte sismogénica de la interfase, por lo que este modelo implica un incremento en el peligro sísmico en la región. Los resultados obtenidos para escenarios similares en otras zonas de subducción favorecen también la elección de este modelo. Sin embargo, con los datos disponibles, no es posible descartar un modelo que requiere que el deslizamiento lento invada también la zona sismogénica. Un mayor número de estaciones de GPS, así como un monitoreo más prolongado de la deformación, proporcionar la información necesaria para discriminar entre ambos modelos.
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ABSTRACT
We invert GPS position data to map the slip on the plate interface during an aseismic, slow-slip event, which occurred in 2002 in the Guerrero seismic gap of the Mexican subduction zone, lasted for ~4 months, and was detected by 7 continuous GPS receivers located over an area of ~550x250 km². Our best model, under physically reasonable constraints, shows that the slow slip occurred on the transition zone at a distance range of 100 to 170 km from the trench. The average slip was about 22.5 cm (Mo~2.97 x10²⁷ dyne-cm, Mw=7.6). This model implies an increased shear stress at the bottom of the locked, seismogenic part of the interface which lies updip from the transition zone, and, hence, an enhanced seismic hazard. The results from other similar subduction zones also favor this model. However, we cannot rule out an alternative model that requires slow slip to invade the seismogenic zone as well. A definitive answer to this critical issue would require more GPS stations and long-term monitoring.

KEY WORDS: Slow earthquake, Guerrero seismic gap, GPS.

INTRODUCTION
Recent continuous geodetic observations, made possible by widespread deployment of GPS receivers, have revealed that slow slip events or silent earthquakes on plate interfaces are a relatively common phenomenon (e.g. Heki et al., 1997; Dragert et al., 2001; Ozawa et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2002). Such observations promise to revolutionize our understanding of the earthquake source process, interface coupling, the earthquake cycle, and the rheology of the plate interface.

Two silent earthquakes have been reported in the Guerrero seismic gap (Lowry et al., 2001; Kostoglodov et al., 2003). This gap is located along the Mexican subduction zone. It extends from 99.2°W to 101.2°W (Figure 1). No large subduction thrust earthquakes have occurred in the NW part of the gap since 1911 (Singh et al., 1981). The region SE of Acapulco, up to 99.2°W, has experienced only relatively small (Mw≤7.1) earthquakes since 1957. The entire gap is about 200 km in length. If the gap were to rupture in a single earthquake, it would give rise to an event of magnitude Mw of 8.1-8.4 (Singh and Mortera, 1991). Because such an event poses great seismic hazard to Acapulco, the state of Guerrero, and to Mexico City, this region has been instrumented with seismographs, accelerographs, and GPS receivers. Figure 1 shows the 7 permanent, continuous GPS receivers that were in operation in the region in January 2003.
The first silent earthquake occurred in 1998. It was detected by the continuous GPS receiver at CAYA (Figure 1), the only station in operation at the time (Lowry et al., 2001). The most active phase of the second, much larger, slow earthquake began in January 2002, and lasted for about four months (Kostoglodov et al., 2003). It was recorded by seven continuous GPS receivers located over an area of ~550x250 km² (Figure 1). The data and a preliminary interpretation based on two-dimensional forward modeling were presented in a previous work (Kostoglodov et al., 2003). In that work the authors conclude that the data could be interpreted by one of two extreme models. One model implies increased seismic hazard in the Guerrero gap (slip occurring only over the transition zone), while the second model points to diminished hazard (slip extending over the seismogenic zone). In this study, we formally invert the data, adding physical constraints, to map the slip on the plate interface. Our goal is to resolve which of the two scenarios, increased or diminished hazard, is better supported by the data. Clearly, the issue is of critical importance because of its tectonic and seismic hazard implications.

TECTONIC SETTING AND THE GEOMETRY OF THE BENIOFF ZONE

Figure 1 shows the tectonic setting of the Guerrero seismic gap. The oceanic Cocos plate subducts below continental Mexico, a part of the North American (NOAM) plate. The rate of Cocos motion relative to NOAM is ~5.6 ± 0.21 cm/yr in the direction N35°E (NUVEL-1A model, DeMets et al., 1994).

Several studies deal with the geometry of the Benioff zone below Guerrero (e.g., Suárez et al., 1990; Singh and Pardo, 1993). The results of these studies share a common feature: the oceanic Cocos plate enters below Mexico with a small dip (~15°), begins unbending at a distance of 100 km from the trench, and becomes subhorizontal at a distance of about 150 km. Figure 1 (bottom) shows an idealized cross section based on the locations of small and moderate earthquakes and their focal mechanisms (J. Pacheco, personal communication, 2003). In this idealization, the subducted Cocos plate enters below Mexico with a dip of 17° up to a distance of 100 km from the trench and then becomes almost horizontal (dip 2°). We will use this geometry in the inversion of the GPS data.

DATA

Kostoglodov et al. (2003) presented the time series of positions, relative to McDonald Observatory (MDO), Texas; of each of the seven GPS sites. These data exhibit all sites moving NE before January 2002. At this time, the sense of motion reversed and continued to do so for ~4 months. In May 2002, the motion resumed its pre-January 2002 direction at all sites. As an example, Figure 2 shows the time series of position for station CAYA during the interval January 1997 – July 2002. Silent events, one at the beginning of 1998 and another at the beginning of 2002, are highlighted in the figure.

To determine the change in the position of the sites, we took the coordinate time series relative to MDO, subtracted the difference in NUVEL1a velocities of the North American plate at MDO and each site, and inverted, via weighted least squares, for a best-fit line superimposed by a hyperbolic tangent function. Table 1 and Figure 1 summarize the resulting estimates of steady-state velocity and anomalous displacement. The motion during the slow slip was not perfectly opposite to that during the steady-state phase, instead it had a significantly less strike-parallel component of motion.

INVERSION FOR SLIP

To invert for slip on the plate interface, we use the fault geometry shown in Figure 1. The strike of the fault is chosen to coincide with the middle America trench (azimuth φ=289°). The length of the fault along strike is 600 km. The horizontal projection of the fault has a width of 350 km (Figure 1). The fault is subdivided into 3x4 elements, three along strike and four in the down-dip direction. A larger number of elements is not warranted in view of the small number of observations. We denote the elements by (i,j), i=1 to 3 and j=1 to 4. The elements (2,j) coincide with the Guerrero seismic gap. We note that the widths of the elements along the down-dip direction have been chosen to reflect our current knowledge of the seismic behavior of the plate interface along the Mexican subduction zone. Quite generally, large, shallow thrust earthquakes in Mexico do not occur between the trench and a distance of ~50 km towards the coast. The seismogenic zone roughly extends from 50 km to 100 km, and the transition zone extends beyond 100 km. The element widths have been chosen to reflect this (Figure 1): 0-50 km; 50-100 km; 100-170 km; 170-350 km. Note that the division of the transition zone in two segments, 100 km to 170 km and 170 km to 350 km, is arbitrary. As Figure 1 shows there are more GPS sites in the Guerrero gap than in the adjacent regions. For this reason, we expect the slip on elements (2,j) to be better resolved than those on other elements.

In the inversion, the displacement from each rectangular element is calculated using closed form expressions developed by Okada (1992). The rake of the slip vector, $\lambda$, is taken as an unknown parameter but is assumed to be the same for each element. The displacement from slip over the elements of the fault plane may be written as:
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Fig. 1. (Top) Location of permanent GPS sites in and near the Guerrero seismic gap, Mexico. The vectors at the sites indicate horizontal position change for a year of interseismic, steady state strain accumulation phase (dark arrows) and during the January-April, 2002 slow-slip (white arrows). The vectors near the mid-America trench (MAT) illustrate velocity of Cocos plate relative to NOAM plate. Large rectangle is the horizontal projection of the plate interface over which the slip was inverted from the GPS data. The rectangle is divided in (3x4) elements. The element number is shown in parentheses. Guerrero gap coincides with elements (2,2). (Bottom) Idealized geometry of the Benioff zone along AA' used in the inversion. The white and the dark segments of the interface indicate the element widths.
Position change of GPS sites in southern Mexico relative to McDonald Observatory, Texas. Z is positive upward. σ is the standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Steady-state phase (yearly)</th>
<th>Slow-event phase Jan-Apr 2002 (4 months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Delta N \pm \sigma_N) (cm)</td>
<td>(\Delta E \pm \sigma_E) (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAYA</td>
<td>1.64±0.01</td>
<td>1.60±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACAP</td>
<td>1.96±0.02</td>
<td>1.71±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGUA</td>
<td>1.54±0.05</td>
<td>0.99±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAIG</td>
<td>0.83±0.02</td>
<td>0.77±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIHP</td>
<td>2.02±0.04</td>
<td>1.89±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINO</td>
<td>2.13±0.06</td>
<td>1.92±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAXA</td>
<td>2.24±0.09</td>
<td>1.63±0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
u_k = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{4} (Gd_{i,j}^k \cdot S_{i,j} \cdot \sin(\lambda) + Gs_{i,j}^k \cdot \cos(\lambda)),
\]

where \(u_k\) is the displacement vector at station \(k\), \(Gd_{i,j}^k\) and \(Gs_{i,j}^k\) are the displacements at station \(k\) due to the unit pure dip slip and unit pure strike slip on the \((i,j)\) element, respectively, and \(S_{i,j}\) is the slip on the \((i,j)\) element.

As misfit function to minimize, we choose an L2 norm:

\[
misfit = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{L=1}^{n} (u_{L}^{obs} - u_{L}^{pre})^2 \cdot w_L},
\]

where \(n\) is the number of data points \((n=number of components multiplied by number of stations)\), \(u_{L}^{obs}\), \(u_{L}^{pre}\) are the observed and predicted displacements for the \(L\)-th component-station and \(w_L\) (weight for each component-station) is the reciprocal of standard deviation over data (\(\sigma\)).

**Slow-slip phase**

The data set consists of 21 values. The unknown parameters are 13, corresponding to 12 slip amplitudes on \((3\times4)\) elements and 1 slip direction. First we inverted for the slip distribution without any further constraint. The results showed a large slip on the element \((2,3)\) that lies between 100 and 170 km from the trench. The slip on this element was at least twice greater than on any other element. We then performed several tests by changing the number of elements in the down-dip direction. Basically, the solutions showed that the slip on the central strip \((2,j)\) (corresponding to the Guerrero seismic gap) is well resolved. The slip on the elements \((1,j)\) and \((3,j)\), however, significantly changed with any change in the number of the elements, indicating that the slip distribution on these elements is not well resolved from the available data. These initial tests suggest that the solutions are unstable because of a large number of parameters \((13)\), relatively small number of data points \((21)\), and their spatial distribution.

Before performing additional inversions, we imposed further constraints that are physically reasonable. We required that the slip on the elements \((i,1)\) be equal. The same constraint was imposed on the elements \((i,4)\). These bands represent the shallowest and deepest portion of our model (Figure 1). In the initial tests, the lateral elements \((1,2)\), \((1,3)\), \((3,2)\), \((3,3)\) had shown significant instability due to lack of stations. For this reason, we constructed bigger blocks by
setting the slip on (1,2) to be equal to that on (1,3) and the slip on (3,2) to be equal to that on (3,3). These constraints reduced the number of free parameters from 13 to 7. Physically, the slip at the boundaries of the elements must be continuous. In our inversion, however, we have not imposed this condition. The result of the inversion is shown in Figure 3 (top). We point out that the misfit resulting from this model (10.8 cm) is similar to that from the model where slip on each of the 13 elements is free (7.9 cm). The total seismic moment release, assuming a rigidity $\mu=3.5\times10^{11}$ dyne/cm², is $2.97\times10^{27}$ dyne-cm (Mw7.6).

Figure 3 (top) shows that the slow slip was mostly confined to the element (2,3). This element extends from 100 to
Fig. 3. (Top) Slip distribution on the plate interface obtained from inversion of the GPS data during the slow-slip phase (January-April, 2002; 4 months). The area in the figure corresponds to the rectangle shown in Figure 1. The numbers in parentheses indicate elements as in Figure 1 (top). Elements separated by dashed lines have been merged. Vectors shown by continuous and dashed lines indicate observed and calculated displacements, respectively. For clarity, vertical components are shown to the right side of the figure. (Middle) Same as top but with elements (2,2) and (2,3) merged together. (Bottom) Same as middle but for the steady-state velocities.
170 km from the trench and corresponds to the transition zone. This segment of the plate interface slipped about 22.5 cm in 4 months. The slip direction (rake) was 91°. The parameters of the slow slip on the interface can be described by the usual convention for focal mechanisms: ϕ = 288°, δ = 2°, λ = 91°. No slip occurred on the elements (2,1) and (2,2). The observed position change at sites IGUA and YAIG, however, requires a slip on the element (2,4). It is important to note that the amount of slip on elements (2,3) and (2,4) depends on the widths of the elements. A smaller width would result in a larger slip and vice versa.

As mentioned above, a critical question is whether the slow slip also extended over the seismogenic zone of the Guerrero gap (element (2,2)). To test this possibility, we merged elements (2,3) and (2,4) together. The result of this inversion is shown in Figure 3 (middle). The slip on the two merged elements is ~11.8 cm. The slip direction is 91°. The total seismic moment release (3.05 x 10^27 dyne-cm) is very similar to the previous case. The misfit (10.8 cm) is slightly greater and the fit to the vertical components is now worse than in the previous case. Thus, the results of the inversions favor a slow slip that was mostly confined to the transition zone, in the distance range of 100 to 170 km from the trench (Figure 3, top). This is our best model.

**Steady-state phase**

The data on yearly position change of GPS sites during the steady-state phase of the deformation (Table 1) were inverted using the same fault geometry and constraints as in the previous case. The slip distribution, shown in Figure 3 (bottom), suggests that in the Guerrero gap (elements (2,j)) the data can be explained by an average back slip (20) of ~4.1 cm on the plate interface between 50 and 170 km from the trench (elements (2,2) and (2,3)). The plate interface further down dip, in the distance range of 170 to 350 km from the trench (element (2,4)), requires a back slip of ~1.6 cm. The back slip in this element appears to be real in view of the position change of inland sites of IGUA and YAIG (Figure 1). The inversion also shows that the elements nearest to the trench (i,1) require a back slip of ~1.9 cm. The back slip on the lateral elements (1,2) and (1,3), and (3,2) and (3,3) are ~ 6 cm and 5 cm, respectively. The direction of back slip (rake) is ~108°, which is consistent with the direction of convergence of Cocos with respect to NOAM of 35°.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

The displacement vectors at GPS sites above the subduction zone of Guerrero, Mexico, during the steady-state phase of strain accumulation are in agreement with the relative convergence of Cocos with respect to NOAM (5.6 cm/yr towards N35°E). The inversion of the GPS data, with physically reasonable constraints, shows an almost completely locked plate interface in the distance range of 50 to 170 km from the trench and a partially locked (~35%) interface further down dip between 170 and 350 km from the trench (Figure 3, bottom). The direction of the back slip vector, -108°, is consistent with the direction of the relative convergence vector.

The reversed motion of the GPS sites, which began in January 2002 and lasted for around four months, demonstrates the occurrence of a large silent earthquake. Our best model shows that the slow slip occurred below the Guerrero seismic gap on the plate interface that extends from ~100 to 170 km (slip ~22.5 cm) and from 170 to 350 km (slip ~3.5 cm). The direction of slow slip was 91°. In this model, the slow slip did not extend over the upper, locked portion of plate interface (~50 to 100 km from the trench). Thus the slip of 22.5 cm in four months cancelled the strain accumulated on the element (2,3) (~100 to 170 km from the trench; Figure 3, top) during about five years of steady-state loading. This is our preferred model. A consequence of this model is an increased shear stress at the bottom of the locked interface, thus enhancing the probability of rupture of the Guerrero seismic gap in the near future. Support for this model comes from slow slip on the transition zone reported in other regions where the age and relative speed of the subducting plate is similar, e.g., (Draggert et al., 2001) and before 1944.
The alternative model, in which slow slip extends over the seismogenic zone, results in larger misfit than in the previous case. Nevertheless, we cannot discard this model on this basis alone, since the difference in the misfit between the two models is significant at only about 85% confidence. If, indeed, this is the correct model, then the slow event liberated some fraction of the accumulated strain, thus diminishing the seismic hazard in the near future. This fraction cannot be estimated from the GPS data since they cover only a short time span.

Which of the two models is in better agreement with seismic history of the region? The cumulative seismic moment release in Guerrero gap as a function of time, for the period 1800-2003 (modified from Anderson et al., 1989), is shown in Figure 4. The figure includes two sets of parallel lines both of which envelop the moment release. The slopes of these two sets of lines, 0.20x10^{27} and 0.14x10^{27}dyne-cm/yr, correspond to perfect seismic coupling (α=1.0) and partial seismic coupling (α=0.7), respectively. In computing these slopes, we have assumed a seismogenic zone with width=50 km, length=200 km, relative plate velocity=5.8 cm/yr, and rigidity μ=3.5x10^{11} dyne/cm². As can be seen from Figure 4, the time series is not long enough to discriminate between a fully-coupled and a partially-coupled seismogenic interface.

It will require more extensive data to map the process of strain accumulation and release in the region. If the process is non-periodic in Guerrero, as it appears to be the case (Kostoglodov et al., 2002), then a more definitive answer may require very long-term monitoring as well.
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